GALLAGHER & KENNEPMMEETING AGENDA ITEM

LAW OFFICES

RECEIVED

MICHAEL M. GRANT DIRECT DIAL: (602) 530-8291 E-MAIL: MMG@GKNET.COM

* 2009 JAN -5 P 1:59

2575 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-9225 PHONE: (602) 530-8000 FAX: (602) 530-8500

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL January 5, 2009

WWW.GKNET.COM

ORIGINAL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN - 5 2009

DOCKETED BY

HAND DELIVERED

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:

Garkane Energy Cooperative, Inc. ("Garkane") and Dixie-Escalante Rural Electric Association, Inc. ("Dixie-Escalante") (collectively, the "Cooperatives") Comments on the Staff Report and Recommended Order on the Cooperatives' Request for a Waiver of the Time-Based Rate Schedules Filing Requirement dated December 19, 2008; Docket Nos. E-01891A-08-0061 and E-02044A-08-0061

Dear Sir or Madam:

Garkane and Dixie-Escalante are non-profit, customer-controlled distribution cooperatives which operate primarily in the state of Utah. As the Staff Report states, only about 6% and 15%, respectively, of their customers are located in this state and reside near the Arizona/Utah border. Both Cooperatives' total annual MWh sales are considerably less than the 500,000 MWh exemption level set forth in the provisions of the 2005 Energy Policy Act which requires—for utilities much larger than the Cooperatives—consideration of certain PURPA standards, including time-based ("TOU") rates.

Because, among other things, the Cooperatives are not implementing TOU rates in Utah where the vast majority of their customers are located and the costs of implementation are very large, on February 1, 2008, they filed an Application requesting that this Commission waive the requirement of Decision No. 69736 requiring them to do so in Arizona. For convenience, a copy of the Application which contains more information concerning the request is attached as Exhibit A.

In its December 19 Report, the Staff agrees that it is difficult for Garkane and Dixie-Escalante to design effective TOU rates because the Cooperatives do not purchase energy at wholesale on a time-differentiated basis. Further, Staff also agrees that implementation of TOU rates will be costly—for example, in one scenario, upgrade costs of \$100,000 for each system are expected, plus additional meter costs (Staff Report, page 3). The Cooperatives have very few Arizona customers over which to spread and recover those costs. Nonetheless, Staff recommends that only a temporary waiver of the TOU requirement be granted and the Cooperatives be required to perform additional studies and analyses on this issue this year.

Docket Control January 5, 2009 Page 2

Given these circumstances, the Cooperatives do not believe that further study of this issue should be required. The study process will not only be expensive and time-consuming, given what Garkane and Dixie-Escalante know about their customer base, power arrangements, metering systems and meter costs, further study is also not likely to produce a different conclusion on TOU feasibility. Therefore, Garkane and Dixie-Escalante request that the Commission grant a permanent waiver as requested in their application.

However, if the Commission feels further study should be performed and only a temporary waiver should be granted, the Cooperatives would suggest one of two amendments to the Staff's Recommended Order:

- 1. At page 9, line 16, the Recommended Order states that the temporary waiver will expire on "January 31, 2009." The "2009" reference is a typographical error and should be changed to read "January 31, 2010" to be consistent with Finding 14 at page 6, line 8, which states that the temporary waiver will expire "January 31, 2010."
- 2. Alternatively, the Cooperatives would request that the temporary waiver extend to at least April 30, 2010. Assuming the conclusion is reached that TOU rates should not be offered, the detailed data supporting that conclusion is due one year after the date of this order, i.e., January 2010. Extending the temporary waiver until the end of April next year will give the Commission, Staff and Cooperatives time to discuss and analyze the filed data and decide upon an appropriate course of action.

The Staff and Commission's attention to this matter is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.

'Muchael M. Show

By:

Michael M. Grant

MMG/plp 10703-1/1975199 Attachment

cc w/attachment (delivered):

Commissioner Kristin K. Mayes

Commissioner Gary Pierce

Commissioner Sandra D. Kennedy Commissioner Paul Newman

Commissioner Bob Stump

William Musgrove, Utilities Division

Original and 15 copies filed with Docket Control this 5th day of January, 2009.

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF GARKANE ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC. AND DIXIE-ESCALANTE RURAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR A WAIVER OF THE REQUIREMENT OF DECISION NO. 69736 FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF TIME-BASED RATE SCHEDULES

Docket Nos. E-01891A-08-0061 E-02044A-08-0061

APPLICATION

Garkane Energy Cooperative, Inc. ("Garkane") and Dixie-Escalante Rural Electric Association, Inc. ("Dixie") (collectively, the "Cooperatives"), in support of their Application, state as follows:

- 1. Garkane is a member-owned Utah, non-profit cooperative association which supplies electricity to its members—most of which are located in the state of Utah. As of 2007, Garkane had approximately 10,700 total customers. Only about 690 of those customers are located in Arizona and are situated primarily north of the Grand Canyon in the vicinity of Fredonia and Colorado City, Arizona.
- 2. Dixie is also a member-owned Utah, non-profit cooperative association. It has about 13,800 customers. Only about 2,100 of those customers reside in Arizona. They are located in and around the town of Littlefield in the extreme northwest corner of the state.
- Both Cooperatives' annual MWh sales are considerably less than the
 500,000 MWh exemption level set forth in the provisions of the Energy Policy Act of 2005

pertaining to consideration of certain PURPA standards. In 2007, Garkane sold approximately 183,000 MWh system-wide, with about 14,300 MWh of those sales in Arizona. Dixie's sales for 2007 totaled approximately 351,000 MWh, with about 31,000 MWh of those sales in Arizona.

- 4. Both Cooperatives are all-requirements members of the Descret Generation & Transmission Cooperative ("Descret") and, by contract, are obligated to take all of their power and energy at wholesale from Descret. The Cooperatives are billed a demand charge based upon each cooperative's load measured at the time of Descret's Coincident System Peak. All energy is purchased from Descret at a fixed, single-block rate. There is no differentiation in the energy rate charged the Cooperatives based upon the time of day or month of the year the energy is purchased from Descret.
- 5. In Decision No. 69736, the Commission approved a requirement that, by January of 2009, each electric distribution utility, such as the Cooperatives, offer "a time-based rate schedule under which the rate charged varies during different time periods and reflects the variance, if any, in the utility's costs of... purchasing electricity at the wholesale level." (Decision No. 69736, First Ordering Paragraph and Finding of Fact No. 22.) The Cooperatives request that the Commission waive this requirement given their circumstances.
- 6. The Cooperatives are not implementing time-based rates in Utah, where the considerable majority of their customers are located. They have concluded that time-based rates are not cost effective for either the customer or cooperative—primarily because the Cooperatives' energy rates, as explained previously, are not time differentiated at the wholesale level, as well as the high metering costs associated with implementation of such rates.
- 7. Although the Cooperatives will study "smart metering" as required by Decision No. 69736, it is anticipated that the costs for such metering, which is not used on either of the

	.]	l
	2	2
	3	,
	4	ļ
	5	,
	6	,
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1.	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	5	
17	,	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

Cooperatives' systems currently, will not be feasible and cost effective. Obviously, it would be very expensive to institute time-based rates (and install "smart meters") only for the small number of the Cooperatives' customers which are served in Arizona. Such rates would also be difficult to design—given the fact that the Cooperatives' wholesale energy rates are not time differentiated. For these reasons, the Cooperatives' request for a waiver order as to this requirement is appropriate.

WHEREFORE, having fully stated their Joint Application, Garkane and Dixie request that the Commission enter its Order waiving as to them the requirement of Decision No. 69736 concerning the implementation of time-based rate schedules.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of February, 2008.

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.

ichael M. Dras Michael M. Grant

2575 East Camelback Road

Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225

Attorneys for Garkane Energy Cooperative, Inc. and Dixie-Escalante Rural Electric

Association, Inc.

Original and 15 copies filed this 1st day of February, 2008, with:

Docket Control

Arizona Corporation Commission

1200 West Washington Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

24

Copy of the foregoing delivered this 1st day of February, 2008, to:

Barbara Keene Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

10703-1/10593-1/1749012